


**Baby Jesus and the Universal Christian Church of the New  
Jerusalem in Gallinaro, Italy**



**Magnus Lundberg**

[magnus.lundberg@teol.uu.se](mailto:magnus.lundberg@teol.uu.se)

[Preliminary Version, July 2020]

Introduction	3
Giuseppina Norcia and La Piccola Culla	6
The Official Church's Reactions and the Movement's Response	11
The Foundation of the New Jerusalem Church	17
Challenges and Controversies	22
Concluding Remarks: From Movement to Church	25
References	28

## Introduction

La Chiesa Cristiana Universale della Nuova Gerusalemme—The Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem—was founded on October 4, 2015. Three days later, the Assembly of the Faithful elected Samuele Morcia (b. 1972) Supreme Pontiff Samuele. The headquarters of the Church is located in the small town of Gallinaro in the Frosinone province, about 110 kilometres east of Rome. Of the nearly 2 500 church members most live in the neighbouring regions, but also other parts of Italy, particularly in Sicily, and to some extent abroad. The Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem considers itself to be the continuation of the One Holy Catholic Church. They claim that Pope Francis is no true pontiff, but a usurper who has created a new syncretic world religion that has nothing to do with Christianity.

Like many similar cases, the New Jerusalem Church has a background in private revelations. Giuseppina Norcia (1940–2008), Samuele Morcia's mother-in-law, claimed to receive visions and heavenly messages, both as a child and as an adult. After a series of revelations in 1974, she constructed a small chapel in Gallinaro, La Piccola Culla del Bambino Gesù—the Little Cradle of Baby Jesus—which soon became a popular pilgrimage site, where many people claimed to be healed.

While not accepting the apparitions as real, there was some support from local bishops of Sora-Aquino-Pontecorvo in the 1970s and 1980s; later the suspicion and opposition grew. By the turn of the millennium, the diocese declared that the messages to Norcia did not have a supernatural origin and that she and the movement around her held controversial theological views. Still, the site continued to attract large numbers of pilgrims and prayer groups were established at many places. It is possible to talk about a Bambino Gesù movement; later on a New Jerusalem movement. After the foundation of the

Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem the Roman Catholic Church authorities, not surprisingly excommunicated its leaders and the adherents at large.

There are many sources on the New Jerusalem Church, but much fewer on the movement that preceded it. The Church's official website is essential. It was launched in 2009 by the Bambino Gesù movement. At that time, it just included some information about the apparitions and images from La Piccola Culla. Currently, the Church's website contains a wide array of texts in four languages: Italian, French, Spanish and English; the Italian version is the most complete. It comprehends doctrinal statements, sermons and spiritual reflections, as well as descriptions of the organisation and its history.

An essential source to the beliefs of both movement and church is a text called *Il Libretto*. Its first version seems to have appeared in the early 1980s and was formally called *Una culla per Gesù Bambino nella terra di Gallinaro*—'A Cradle for Baby Jesus in the Land of Gallinaro'. Though it is not dated, I have had access to a somewhat more recent edition of 48 pages that probably was published in the late 1980s or early 1990s. It is introduced by a 12-page-text, which outlines Giuseppina Norcia's biography, her spiritual experiences, and the role of the pilgrimage site. The author was Norcia's spiritual advisor Monsignor Eduardo Cardarelli. The rest of the booklet is a collection of prayers and songs. Some of them are believed to have been revealed by Heaven. Today, the New Jerusalem Church uses a version of *Il Libretto* that includes many more prayers and songs.

Apart from the Church's website, there is a semi-official site, *Difendiamo la verità*, administered by adherents. It was launched in 2014 with an explicit apologetic mission: defending the Bambino Gesù/New Jerusalem movement, and later the Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem Church, against

accusations and presenting a wide array of examples of what they regard as heretical statements by Pope Francis.

In addition to the current versions of the two websites, I have used the Wayback Machine on [www.archive.org](http://www.archive.org) to consult earlier versions. This method enabled me to study New Jerusalem both before and after it became a separate Church.

Apart from the sources produced by the movement/church, I have used formal statements on the phenomenon issued by the Roman Catholic diocese of Sora-Aquino-Pontecorvo (from 2014: Sora-Cassino-Aquino-Pontecorvo). For an outside perspective, I have also made use of articles in provincial newspapers such as *La Provincia Quotidiano Frosinone* and *Ciociaria Oggi*, which mainly document the conflicts surrounding the movement/church.

Though there are a few academic studies on the early history of the Piccola Culla and the Bambino Gesù moment, very little is written about the last two decades. To date, the most thorough investigation on the pilgrimage site is Floriana Ciccodicola's *Una 'nuova Gerusalemme' in Ciociaria* (2000). This 500-page monograph is solidly based on both written and oral sources and follows the movement from the mid-1970s onwards. Angela Cicala's thesis *Apocalisse nella terra di Gallinaro* (2003) mainly consists of long quotations from Ciccodicola's book and transcriptions of whole interviews with pilgrims.

Apart from these earlier studies, there are some brief overviews: an article by Raffaella Di Marzio (2006), an entry in *Le religioni in Italia*, edited by Massimo Introvigne and PierLuigi Zoccatelli (2013; continuously updated online), and a text in the internet-based *Dizionario del pensiero cristiano alternativo*. Yet another contribution is an article called 'La Nuova Gerusalemme di Gallinaro: Analisi di un Movimento Religioso' by Luigi Fabiano (2014; updated 2016). He used to be active in the Bambino Gesù movement but does not accept its transition into a separate church.

In this research report, I start by outlining the apparition narratives and the development of the Bambino Gesù Movement and Giuseppina Norcia's role. Then follows an analysis of the official Roman Catholic reactions to the phenomena from the 1970s through early 2000s and the movement's response. After that, I provide a study of the Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem, its organisation, teachings and the controversies related to it. I end the report with some more analytical conclusions about the transformation of an apparition movement to a separate church organisation.

### **Giuseppina Norcia and La Piccola Culla**

Maria Giuseppina Norcia, the future seer, was born in 1940 in Gallinaro. Aged seven, a few days before her first communion, she asserted to have seen Baby Jesus, descending from Heaven on a cloud. He came very close and smiled, but said nothing. She immediately told her mother and a few other women and remained affected by her experience. Still, Giuseppina claimed no other apparitions for almost 25 years. She married at 18, gave birth to two children, but became a widow already in 1970.

A few years later, she suddenly became severely ill, though the available sources do not explain the nature of the illness. On May 15, 1974, as she was in her home praying for recovery, Giuseppina Norcia received a new apparition. This time she saw an adult Jesus in the company of the Virgin Mary and Michael the Archangel. They comforted her and entrusted her with a *missione di salvezza*, a special mission to work for the salvation of humankind. Nevertheless, they told her that her faith was going to be tested very soon. The trial came already the following day when Satan appeared to her as a fierce, frightening beast. Still, according to the official account, she defeated the demonic forces by intensely praying the Rosary as Michael the Archangel had taught her.

A few days after her combat with the Devil, Giuseppina had a vision of Jesus, the Virgin and St. Mesia Elia, a locally revered martyr. During this vision, she was healed from her illness, after which Jesus urged her to build a chapel. A luminous road with an arrow showed her where it should be located. It was on the exact spot where she had seen the Christ child when she was seven years old. In the words of Jesus, the chapel would be a ‘cradle for Him’ (*una culla per Lui*) where people should come and pray ‘to obtain graces from Him, not only for the health of the soul but also for that of the body.’<sup>1</sup>

As a response to Jesus’s wish, Giuseppina had a little chapel built at the site, which was situated on her mother’s property. She called it La Piccola Culla del Bambino Gesù: The Little Cradle of Baby Jesus. Inside she placed a statuette of the Jesus Child on a bed of white tulle, thus resembling the way she had seen him descending on a cloud in her childhood apparition. The chapel was finished already in August 1974 and was later blessed by the local bishop. However, the prelate never formally approved of Giuseppina’s mystical experiences (on the Roman Catholic Church’s official position, see below). Soon, increasing numbers of pilgrims arrived at La Piccola Culla in Gallinaro. Many hoped that their prayers, the water found in a nearby well and blessed medallions would help them conquer illnesses and personal problems.<sup>2</sup>

Through the years, Giuseppina Norcia had an essential role at the site, acting as a spiritual advisor. As the pilgrim groups increased, she had a small room built next to the chapel. It had a small window through which she listened to people who turned to her, asking for advice and intercessory prayers. Illnesses, spiritual queries and domestic problems seem to have been prevalent issues. These advice sessions took place between Tuesday and Saturday every week, and even if every conversation only took a few minutes, the pilgrims often had to queue for hours. Witnesses described Giuseppina as smiling and giving

---

<sup>1</sup> Carelli [n.d., late 1980s?]:1–5, cf. Ciccodicola 2000: 49–70.

<sup>2</sup> Carelli [n.d. late 1980s?], cf. Ciccodicola 2000.

concise advice, and that she was clear over that her activity was not a substitute for confession, but a preparation.<sup>3</sup>

Until her death in 2008, Giuseppina Norcia would claim other divine revelations. Already in 1975, she had visions of Heaven and Hell. During these apparitions, Jesus and the Virgin told her that there were no other means for humanity to escape Hell than the sacraments and prayers, especially the Rosary ‘which is the most potent weapon to fight the Devil, to save souls, [and] to deliver them from evil and temptations.’ Jesus also showed her the Calvary telling her that he had shed his blood for humanity. Then she really came to understand that the Mass was a renovation of his sacrifice on the Cross. Christ said that the faithful should ‘feel the heat of his love when receiving the bread and wine’, and they should show their love for God by loving their neighbour and keep the Ten Commandments.<sup>4</sup>

On the chapel’s façade, there was, and remains, a plaque, where the apparition story is told in brief. It narrates that God has transformed the site into ‘a source of peace and forgiveness’, and that Jesus had revealed that the Cradle that was built for him is the Column of Light (*La Colonna di Luce*) and the White Island (*L’Isola Bianca*). It is also the Castle of the Soul (*Il Castello delle Anime*), the Centre of Infinite Mercy (*Il Centro della Misericordia Infinita*), and the New Jerusalem (*La Nuova Gerusalemme*) which God has prepared for the ‘Era of the Holy Ghost.’<sup>5</sup>

All these expressions underline the site’s concrete role in the economy of salvation, where the chapel and Giuseppina Norcia had essential functions, as connections between Heaven and Earth. The Piccola Culla was, in some way set apart from the sinful world around it, being imbued with graces that helped to fight evil powers and reach salvation. Three wall paintings inside the chapel

---

<sup>3</sup> Fabiano 2016, cf. Carelli [n.d., late 1980s?]: 8–12.

<sup>4</sup> Carelli [n.d., late 1980s]:6–7.

<sup>5</sup> See photo of the plaque on [www.chieracostui.com](http://www.chieracostui.com).

emphasise the centrality of the place and the seer. The image in the middle illustrates the 1947 apparition of Baby Jesus. To its left is a depiction of Giuseppina's vision of Jesus, the Virgin and the Michael the Archangel in 1974, and on the right is an image of the second miracle, when Jesus, Mary and Saint Mesia Elia came to her help.<sup>6</sup>

The veneration of Baby Jesus in Gallinaro also meant an upsurge for the earlier much-reduced cult of Saint Mesia Elia, who died a martyr in 305 during Emperor Diocletian's violent persecutions. In the early nineteenth-century, her relics were translated to the church in Alvito, about ten kilometres from Gallinaro, and pilgrims often visited both places. Frequently, they also attended the parish church in Gallinaro.<sup>7</sup>

Despite the reiterated criticism from the diocesan bishops, particularly from the early 1990s onwards, the worship continued to attract increasing crowds. At the turn of the millennium, about 4,500 busloads and at least 10,000 private vehicles arrived at the site every year. On special days, a hundred buses could be present at the same time. Most pilgrims came from the nearby provinces of Campania and Lazio, but many came from other parts of Italy, too, and even from abroad. Some of the pilgrims went to Gallinaro only once or occasionally, while others returned every month or even more frequently.<sup>8</sup> As another sign of the site's importance and the prayers' efficiency, the walls of the chapel and other locations became filled with *ex-votos*. These objects manifested individuals' thankfulness and served as proofs of the miracles that pilgrims experienced.

The Bambino Gesù phenomenon matured organisationally. Referring to divine messages, Giuseppina selected group-leaders (*capi-gruppo*) that assisted on the site. From the early 1980s, prayer groups devoted to Baby Jesus were founded both in Gallinaro and in other parts of Italy. They often met once a

---

<sup>6</sup> See photos on [www.nuovagerusalemme.com](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.com) (April 23, 2009 version, accessed through [www.archive.org](http://www.archive.org)).

<sup>7</sup> Ciccodicola 2000: 233–240.

<sup>8</sup> Ciccodicola 2000: 109–114.

week to pray the Rosary and other prayers from the *Libretto*. They also organised pilgrimage tours to La Piccola Culla, and held more public, mission-oriented meetings, where testimonies about conversions and miracles had a prominent place.<sup>9</sup> The members of the prayer groups were referred to as *seguaci*, followers. At the same time, there was a smaller group known as *devoti*, devotees, who met regularly outside the Piccola Culla in Gallinaro.<sup>10</sup>

The Bambino Gesù movement's steps toward greater institutionalisation followed a rather typical model. In their analysis of Marian apparition cases, David G. Bromley and Rachel S. Bobbitt observe that this process involve 'mobilizing the key resources necessary for organizational viability: a stable location and financial base, organization and leadership, a network of supporters, and a means of systematising the revelatory process and ritual observances.'<sup>11</sup>

From the start, the Bambino Gesù movement had a stable location. The construction of the small chapel marked the beginning of the pilgrimages, and it was built on a piece of land that Giuseppina's mother owned. The site attracted growing numbers of pilgrims, including many who took part in organised tours, and both at the site and other places, there were prayer groups devoted to the Bambino Gesù. The pilgrimages found a more systematised form including praying before the chapel, touching its door, drinking or washing oneself with water from the well and searching Giuseppina's advice.

With time, a leadership structure developed, and though not adherents in any strict sense, priests were present at the site, sometimes saying Mass. Still, there was no stable financial base for the movement. It is a well-established fact that Giuseppina Norcia did not accept any donations—it was even written

---

<sup>9</sup> Ciccodicola 2000:264–277, cf. Fabiano 2016.

<sup>10</sup> Ciccodicola 2000, cf. Fabiano 2016.

<sup>11</sup> Bromley & Bobbitt 2011.

explicitly on the plaque—and that the *Libretto* was not sold but distributed for free and the organisation built on voluntary work.

### **The Official Church's Reactions and the Movement's Response**

According to Roman Catholic Canon Law, it is the local bishop who decides whether reports about private revelations and other miracles should be formally investigated, and, in that case, if they should be regarded as supernatural. Only a minority of the cases that have been reported in Roman Catholic settings during the last century have become the object of a formal investigation, and just a fraction of them reached a favourable conclusion, i.e., that the bishop authorised the stories as supernatural signs.

Thus only a handful of the apparitions reported in the last century has been formally approved by the church authorities. However, in some cases, bishops see the faithful's devotion as a good sign. Therefore, they may authorise the construction of a chapel at the site while not evaluating the apparitions or other reported miracles. However, other cases, especially with the proliferation of apparition and miracle accounts after World War II have been formally denounced by the local ecclesiastical hierarchy, sometimes with the explicit backing by the Holy See. Nevertheless, most often the local bishop does not mention the events publically or at least take no formal decision.

In a formal assessment of purported miracles, the diocesan authorities use two main kinds of criteria: positive and negative. The positive criteria have to do with the 'moral certitude' or 'probability' of the events, but also with the personal qualities of the seer (e.g. his or her psychological status, and morality). These criteria also include the requirement of coherence with official church doctrine and that the events should give rise to 'healthy devotion and constant spiritual fruit' in the form of conversions, increased prayer activity and acts of charity. The negative criteria include error concerning the facts and doctrine,

but also evidence of a search for personal profit or psychological disorders, as well as tendencies of ‘collective hysteria.’

According to this model, a formal diocesan assessment can result in three possible outcomes: 1. *Constat de supernaturalitate* (the supernatural nature of the events has been established); 2. *Non constat de supernaturalitate* (the supernatural nature has not been verified); and 3. *Constat de non supernaturalitate* (the *non*-supernatural nature of the events has been determined).<sup>12</sup>

None of the bishops of Sora-(Cassino)-Aquino-Pontecorvo, to which Gallinaro belongs, have authorized the revelations to Giuseppina Norcia. Taking into account the general pattern, anything else would be highly unusual. Still, in the case of the Bambino Gesù the assessments changed over time. The diocesan position moved from a more open *Non constat de supernaturalitate* stance in the 1970s and 1980s to a *Constat de non supernaturalitate* position from the 1990s onwards. However, this evaluation did not mean that the bishops were dismissive of Giuseppina Norcia or the worship at La Piccola Culla. They usually regarded Norcia as a pious person who did not seek personal gain, and that the Piccola Culla was an important prayer site that had positive effects on the Catholic faithful and contributed to conversions. Still, they always called for great caution.

In the 1970s and 1980s, Giuseppina Norcia and the Bambino Gesù movement could count with some support from the official church authorities. Bishop Carlo Michiatti (1915–1996; r. 1971–1982) did not pass any verdict on the events but accepted the pilgrimages and Norcia’s sincerity. He also permitted the construction of the chapel and blessed it. Already, in 1974, the bishop appointed his close assistant Monsignor Ernesto Cardarelli (1909–1994) as Norcia’s spiritual advisor. For many years, Cardarelli frequented the site, and

---

<sup>12</sup> For a general discussion, see Maunder 2016: 45–46.

with the bishop's permission, he said Mass there, as did other priests, including the curate of Gallinaro.<sup>13</sup>

In 1982, Bishop Minchiatti left for another diocese. His successor Lorenzo Chiarinelli (b. 1935; r. 1983–1993) renewed the appointment of Cardarelli as Norcia's spiritual advisor. Chiarinelli, too, maintained that she was sincere and that pilgrimages to the site contributed to conversions. Still, under his episcopate, the diocese made its first formal statement about the nature of the reported supernatural events. After a visitation in 1992, the diocesan curia made clear that 'the rightful Ecclesiastical Authority has never given any explicit and authoritative approval to the events which are often referred to as 'extraordinary' or 'supernatural', which they are not, neither by origin, nor by nature, or content.'<sup>14</sup> This statement is a *Constat de non supernaturalitate* verdict: it had been shown that nothing supernatural was involved.

Though Bishop Chiarinelli made negative statements about the private revelations, his successor Luca Brandolini (b. 1933, r. 1993–2009) would be more outspoken and take several actions against the Bambino Gesù movement. The new bishop appointed Alberto Mariani as 'spiritually and pastorally responsible' for the pilgrimage site. He should oversee the activities there and report to Brandolini. Given the ever-increasing popularity of the Bambino Gesù, it is clear that the bishop was concerned, and in 1996, he revoked the permission to say Mass at the site.<sup>15</sup>

In June 1997, Bishop Brandolini sent a letter to Giuseppina Norcia. Like his predecessors, he underlined that the site had been influential in contributing to conversions. To the extent that the activities at the site added to the Church's

---

<sup>13</sup> Ciccodicola 2000, cf. Letter from Fondazione Giuseppa Norcia, "Chiarimenti su alcuni fatti e circostanze riguardanti la vita di Giuseppina Norcia", June 12, 2010, [www.lucedelmondo.forumfree.it/?t=53816078](http://www.lucedelmondo.forumfree.it/?t=53816078).

<sup>14</sup> *Bollettino Ufficiale* 1992.

<sup>15</sup> Letter from Fondazione Giuseppa Norcia, "Chiarimenti su alcuni fatti e circostanze riguardanti la vita di Giuseppina Norcia", [www.lucedelmondo.forumfree.it/?t=53816078](http://www.lucedelmondo.forumfree.it/?t=53816078).

overall mission, it was positive. Still, as the ordinary, he had the responsibility to ensure that the beliefs promoted by the Bambino Gesù movement were coherent with Roman Catholic teaching. He saw several serious problems involved. The prelate wrote that people's thirst for 'the miraculous' could become a stumbling block if they exaggerated the importance and particularity of the site over and against ordinary church life. There was a risk, he claimed, that they focused on the 'sentimental aspects of religiosity, rather than on Christ and his Paschal Mystery [and] the radical demands of the Gospel.' The bishop also declared that the *Libretto* must be revised, taking into account the reforms of the Second Vatican Council.<sup>16</sup> Further, Brandolini was indignant by the inscription on the plaque that described the messages that Giuseppina claimed to receive as real, and ordered its removal.

In an article in a Catholic newspaper from the same year, Brandolini expressed the same types of concerns, saying: 'I do not recognise that anything [supernatural] takes place in Gallinaro. And I am very concerned about what is happening, while at the same time wanting to safeguard the positive things that come from the fact that so many people feel the desire to pray.'<sup>17</sup>

On October 9, 2001, Brandolini and the diocesan curia of Sora-Aquino-Pontecorvo published a formal notification on the Bambino Gesù and the movement around it. Once again, the diocese stated that nothing 'extraordinary' or 'supernatural' took or had taken place there and saw clear signs of 'religious fanaticism' and risks of 'contamination of Catholic doctrine.' The bishop opposed the name 'New Jerusalem', which had become more common, as he regarded it as a sign of erroneous millennial teachings, which overstated the importance of the site in a dangerous way. He maintained that the *Libretto* contained many doctrinal errors and forbade its distribution. He particularly opposed the fact that many texts were presented as heavenly

---

<sup>16</sup> Ciccodicola 2000: 452–455.

<sup>17</sup> *Avvenire*, July 13, 1997.

messages to Giuseppina; they were nothing else than ‘personal meditations.’ The bishop also made it clear that the prayer groups associated with the Bambino Gesù were private initiatives, and had no canonical status whatsoever.<sup>18</sup> Despite the harsh criticism, the bishop did not forbid Catholics from attending the site. It was no denunciation of the pilgrimages as such, much less an interdict.

By the time of this formal notification, a future main actor had just entered into the Bambino Gesù movement: Mario Samuele Morcia. He was born in Parma in 1972 and a teacher by occupation. Samuele arrived in Gallinaro on January 6, 2000, and according to the New Jerusalem Church’s official narrative, Giuseppina Norcia immediately recognised him as the one who would continue her mission. The official biography states that she ‘spiritually raised her as her own, making Him part in the Mystery of the New Jerusalem.’ In 2002, Samuele married Giuseppina’s daughter Anna.<sup>19</sup>

The arrival of Samuele Morcia would coincide with some organisational changes in the movement. In 2001, the group founded Casa Serena del Bambino Gesù, a non-profit organisation (ONLUS) that was recognised by the state in the following year. The movement claimed that the foundation was a direct answer to a divine initiative and that their goal was to improve the facilities around the chapel and construct a building that could serve as a pilgrim centre.<sup>20</sup> It was thus a way to provide for further organisational development of the Bambino Gesù movement. The foundation of the ONLUS represented a break with Giuseppina Norcia’s policy since the establishment of the chapel: not to accept any donations.

Despite the official Roman Catholic position, in the first decade of the new millennium, the pilgrimages continued as before; several hundred thousand pilgrims arrived every year. From time to time, even ordinary newspapers

---

<sup>18</sup> *Bollettino Ufficiale* 2001.

<sup>19</sup> “Summo Pontefice”, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/la-chiesa](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/la-chiesa).

<sup>20</sup> [www.casaserenaonlus.it](http://www.casaserenaonlus.it), cf. [www.nuovagerusalemme.it](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it) (cached version April 23, 2009 through [www.archive.org](http://www.archive.org)).

published reports about miraculous healings.<sup>21</sup> Though the Bambino Gesù movement had long underlined the site's importance, it became even more prominent. The New Jerusalem was an Ark in the End-time when the battle between the forces of good and evil would become worse than ever before.

Giuseppina Norcia died in 2008, at the age of 68. In the words of the adherents, she 'returned to God's House'. Though the official Roman Catholic Church had become increasingly critical of the Bambino Gesù movement, three priests officiated at her funeral, which drew adherents from all parts of the country. In total, twelve priests from four different dioceses attended, thus underlining her spiritual influence. Giuseppina's son-in-law Samuele Morcia took over as the spiritual leader of the movement, claiming that she had elected him her successor and that he had, at least to some extent, inherited her charismatic gifts.<sup>22</sup>

The donations to the Casa Serena del Bambino Gesù Foundation contributed to several renovations and building projects that helped to access the chapel and accommodate large numbers of pilgrims. Already in 2004, the movement had asked for permission to build a more prominent structure nearby, which should serve as a reception centre. Still, for many years they did not get the consent from the municipality. Only towards the end of 2011, they began to plan the construction of Casa Serena, a large ark-like pilgrim centre.<sup>23</sup>

Apart from the ONLUS, in 2009, Morcia, his wife Anna, and her brother started La Fondazione Giuseppina Norcia. According to the statutes, its goal was to maintain the chapel and image of Bambino Gesù, uphold the seer's spiritual example, and keep records of miracles attributed to the site. Thus during the first decade of the twenty-first century, after the arrival of Samuele Morcia, the New Jerusalem movement became increasingly formalised.

---

<sup>21</sup> See e.g. *Ciocciaria Oggi*, April 29, 2007 and January 26, 2010.

<sup>22</sup> *La Provincia Quotidiano Frosinone*, August 5, 2008.

<sup>23</sup> [www.nuovagerusalemme.it](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it) (versions from October 28, 2011 and January 3, 2014 available through [www.archive.org](http://www.archive.org)), cf. *L'Inchiesta Quotidiano*, November 22, 2014.

In mid-2012, La Piccola Culla chapel was suddenly closed down for pilgrims. The official reason was renovations, but the chapel would not open until over four years later. The closing-down shocked many faithful visitors and meant the mass pilgrimages to Gallinaro slowed down considerably, as visitors could only gather outside. It was a clear break with an almost three-decade tradition.<sup>24</sup>

### **The Foundation of the New Jerusalem Church**

Though the leaders of the Bambino Gesù movement had been critical against the church hierarchy before, it was with the election of Pope Francis in 2013 that their explicit public opposition took shape, among other things by publishing a long series of documents on the *Defendiamo la Verità* website. There, they pointed out papal declarations that they considered heretical. According to them, Francis's elevation to the Holy See was the final act in the destruction of the Catholic Church that had begun much earlier. In fact, they never used his papal name but referred to him as Jorge Mario Bergoglio, thus indicating that he was not the true Pope.

The movement's most central point of accusation was that the Roman Catholic Church, especially under Francis, denies that Christ is the only way to God and that he is the only saviour. The result is a new mixed secularised religion that has killed true doctrine. The organisation Francis leads ceased to be the Catholic Church but had been transformed into what they called 'Bergoglio's New World Religion', 'the Roman Church', 'the Vatican' or 'the Old Jerusalem', thus emphasising its obsolete and bureaucratic nature.<sup>25</sup> In 2014, for example, there were reports from big meetings in Latina and Turin, where Samuel Morcia

---

<sup>24</sup> See, e.g. a series of blogposts on [www.paolotescione.wordpress.com](http://www.paolotescione.wordpress.com).

<sup>25</sup> The detailed accusations against Jorge Bergoglio and the Roman Catholic Church are found on [www.difendiamolaverita.it/difendiamo-la-vera-fede-cristo/difendiamo-indice-cronologico](http://www.difendiamolaverita.it/difendiamo-la-vera-fede-cristo/difendiamo-indice-cronologico).

publically denounced the Roman Catholicism. He urged the participants not to attend their parish churches, nor receive the sacraments; they were without any value since the Holy Ghost had left the Roman Church.<sup>26</sup>

In this situation, ‘the Old Jerusalem’, i.e., the Roman Catholic Church, was replaced by the New Jerusalem. ‘Following the Will of God’, the Universal Christian Church of New Jerusalem was founded on October 4, 2015, and three days later the Assembly of the Faithful elected Samuele Morcia Supreme Pontiff Samuele. In official sources, Samuele is never referred to as Pope but as Supreme Pontiff (*Sommo Pontefice*) or just Pontiff (*Pontefice*). He is the ‘Spiritual Guide’ of the New Jerusalem Church and exercises his magisterium through preaching, but also written documents and apostolic decrees.

From the foundation, Samuele leads the Church with the help of four men who make up the Spiritual Council: Nello Migliaccio, Alessandro D’Argento, Patrick Manfredi and Pasquale Laezza. None of them claims regular apostolic succession, i.e., that they have been ordained or consecrated by a valid bishop. Most likely, they believe that God has entrusted them with their offices directly.

Outside the headquarters in Gallinaro, there are Pastoral Committees, moderated by regional leaders. Apart from the formal leaders, there is another essential category, the Ministers of the Church, who are endowed with charismatic gifts and are seen as God’s spokespersons, as prophets. As a collective, the members of the New Jerusalem Church constitute the Assembly of the Faithful.<sup>27</sup>

On October 4, 2015, the very day the New Jerusalem Church was founded, the Roman Catholic diocesan curia informed the Holy See about the events. And on May 29, 2016, having consulted the Sacred Congregation of the Doctrine of Faith, the diocese, now led by Bishop Gerardo Antonazzo (b. 1956, r. 2013–)

---

<sup>26</sup> [www.paolotescione.wordpress.com](http://www.paolotescione.wordpress.com).

<sup>27</sup> “Spirito che anima la Chiesa”, “Organi”, “Discasteri” and “Ministro di Dio”, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/la-chiesa](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/la-chiesa).

issued an official decree which should be read at all religious services in the diocese a week later.

The document stated that the New Jerusalem Church was a ‘pseudo-religious organisation’, which diffused non-Catholic doctrines and hindered its members from receiving the valid sacraments and have contact with their parishes. The curia, backed by the Holy See, declared both church leaders and members excommunicated *latae sententiae*. The legal basis was canon 1364, which condemns apostasy, heresy, and schism. Usually, only the Holy See has the right to absolve Roman Catholics who have committed such crimes. However, in this case, the Sacred Congregation delegated its authority to the bishops.<sup>28</sup>

Still, for the New Jerusalem Church, this was no problem; they were surprised that the decision had taken so long time. On June 5, 2016, when the excommunication decree was read from the pulpits of the diocese, Pontiff Samuele issued a letter called ‘The Defence of the True Christian Religion and the heresy of the church of Bergoglio.’ There it becomes clear that they did not consider themselves nor did they want to be Roman Catholics, as they believed that the Holy Ghost had left the Roman Church with the election of Jorge Bergoglio as Pope. Samuele writes:

The Institution headed by Jorge Mario Bergoglio now would like to communicate to the whole world that those who live the spirituality of this Church are not in communion with God. However, this is not possible. Jorge Mario Bergoglio and his ministers can excommunicate those who follow them in their heresy, not those who – for a long time – are not in communion with the spirit that animates Bergoglio and his ministers anymore. God the Father Almighty is essentially and substantially in communion with those who respect His Law and His true doctrine, founded on the teachings of Our Lord Jesus Christ; and not with who

---

<sup>28</sup> Chiesa di Sora-Cassino-Aquino-Pontecorvo 2016: 175–176.

annihilate the Law of God, promulgating human laws that are against the true Christianity.<sup>29</sup>

Ecclesiologically, the Universal Christian Church of New Jerusalem believes that in this End-time it has supplanted the Roman Catholic Church. God the Father has re-sent the Holy Spirit to re-create the Body of Christ and re-establish the broken alliance between God and humanity so that the faithful could ‘live the original Thought of the Father’ following the Ten Commandments. Through the foundation of Church, the ‘Father’s project’ and the ‘Plan of Love and Redemption’, that was initially given to Giuseppina Norcia would reach its final fulfilment.

In this era, the Holy Ghost has left the Roman Catholic Church, and Francis is a usurper and the leader of another, non-Christian religion. Thus, the New Jerusalem Church *is* the Body of Christ, which is made up of those who live in total communion with Christ and the Virgin Mary. The location as such, New Jerusalem in Gallinaro has an extraordinary role, as the place to which Christ came to prepare Giuseppina Norcia for His mission.<sup>30</sup> In the letter responding to the Roman Catholic excommunication in 2016, the New Jerusalem Church wrote:

God, the Father Almighty, could not leave His children as orphans. For this reason, the Father wanted to newly found His Church, in the Land where in 1947 had already sent His Son, as a little Baby, to prepare humanity to embrace His Plan of Love and Redemption, Christian and Universal, founded only and exclusively on the Love for Christ, the only one Rock, the only one Treasure, the only one and Highest Good, Absolute Good. Thus, while Bergoglio and his ministers are now trading and selling off Christ to give birth to a new world

---

<sup>29</sup> “The defense of the True Christian Faith and the heresy of the church of Bergoglio”, June 5, 2016, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/en/com-magisterium](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/en/com-magisterium) (official English translation).

<sup>30</sup> “Spirito che anima la Chiesa” and “Dottrina della Chiesa”, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/la-chiesa](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/la-chiesa).

religion, which is not based on the teachings of Jesus Christ; the Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem manifests Her communion to what belongs to the Father and that will never be traded or betrayed: Christ Our Lord.<sup>31</sup>

Though the New Jerusalem Church regards Christ as the only redeemer of humanity and presents itself as Christo-centric, the Virgin has a very central place for them. She is declared God's mother, daughter and bride, and the Church believes that she was not created but pre-existent. In late 2019 and early 2020, Samuele issued two Pontifical Decrees. The first taught that the Virgin is Universal Co-Redemptrix, Custodian of the Cradle of Baby Jesus, Custodian of Christendom and Patroness of all Families. The other decree focused on St. Joseph declaring him Custodian of The Cradle of Baby Jesus, Custodian of Christendom and Patron of All Families.<sup>32</sup>

Not only Christ, the Virgin and St. Joseph have essential roles in the redemption of humanity, so does the deceased Giuseppina Norcia. According to the Church's doctrine, she was a human being, but just as the Virgin Mary, she existed in God's mind before the creation of Heaven and Earth; she was pre-existent. Her birthday is celebrated as a day when humanity got new hope, as she was sent to proclaim the faith in its entirety. As the preachers of the New Jerusalem often talks about Maria Giuseppina Norcia, as just Maria, it is sometimes difficult to distinguish her from the Virgin. In any case, Giuseppina was 'filled with the virtues of the Virgin', and is referred to as the *Fanciulla di Dio*: God's handmaiden, just like the Virgin in the Gospel of St. Luke. Still, nothing indicates that the Church teaches that Giuseppina and the Virgin Mary

---

<sup>31</sup> "The defense of the True Christian Faith and the heresy of the church of Bergoglio", June 5, 2016, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/en/com-magisterium](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/en/com-magisterium) (official English translation).

<sup>32</sup> "Decreti Pontifici", December 22, 2019 and March 25, 2020, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/magistero](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/magistero).

are the same, nor that they claim that Samuele is a second Jesus, even if individual members might regard his words as the words of Christ.<sup>33</sup>

The current edition of *Il Libretto e Le Preghiere* includes a series of traditional Roman Catholic prayers, such as Our Father, Hail Mary and the Apostles Creed, but also the Rosary with reflections on the Joyful, Luminous, Sorrowful, Glorious and Mysterious Mysteries. It also contains a collection of texts called the Prayers of the Booklet, many of which written by Giuseppina Norcia under direct divine influence. The Church's prayer book includes many songs.<sup>34</sup> Together with the Bible and the teachings of the magisterium, the *Libretto e Le Preghiere* holds a canonical status in the Church.

### Challenges and Controversies

In the 2010s, Bambino Gesù movement and, later, the Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem has attracted public attention, at least on a regional level. Even if many newspaper articles about the church are quite descriptive, the movement/Church has received much criticism in the media, and in some cases, statements have led to legal processes.

In 2014, two journalists working for the Neapolitan newspaper *Il Mattino* were found guilty of defamation as they had written that group's meetings were characterised by 'general delirium' and that Samuele Morcia was a 'manipulative guru who took advantage of credulous people.' They also claimed that the adherents' donation to the building project, 'ended up in his own

---

<sup>33</sup> "Il Libretto e le Preghiere", "Prefazione on" <https://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/il-libretto>, cf. "Atto di Magistero: Maria G. Norcia", January 21, 2019, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/documenti/atti-magistero/maria-g-norcia](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/documenti/atti-magistero/maria-g-norcia).

<sup>34</sup> "Il Libretto e le Preghiere", [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/il-libretto](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/il-libretto).

pockets.’ These were varieties of the recurring accusations that New Jerusalem was a mere business activity hidden behind a thin religious veneer.<sup>35</sup>

A Roman Catholic priest wrote several very harsh messages on his Facebook site. There, he called the leaders of the New Jerusalem ‘charlatans’, ‘promoters of lies’, and ‘imbeciles’. He even went as far as to claim that Satan was speaking through Morcia and that he ‘uses the name of Jesus to deceive people’ only to take ‘to tap their money to build their church.’<sup>36</sup> After the foundation of the New Jerusalem Church and excommunication of the leaders and adherents, Luca Brandolini, the former bishop of Sora-Aquino-Pontecorvo aired the same type of thoughts even claiming that he ‘smelt the presence of mafia infiltration.’<sup>37</sup>

The construction of the Casa Serena became a protracted and conflict-filled story. In October 2016, a few months after the Roman Catholic ban on the New Jerusalem Church, the mayor of Gallinaro declared the almost finished edifice an illegal structure, erected without the required building permits. Consequently, he closed down the construction site and later ordered that the building should be demolished. At the same time, the police and the tax authorities initiated an investigation, suspecting several leaders, including the Pontiff, of fraud.<sup>38</sup>

Not surprisingly, the sudden closure of the construction site gave rise to much opposition from the church members. Every Saturday from early October 2016 onwards, members of the New Jerusalem Church arrived in Gallinaro by the thousand to protest against what they saw as blatant religious persecution. Though several other issues were raised, the main objective of the protests was

---

<sup>35</sup> Documents on [www.difendiamolaverita.it](http://www.difendiamolaverita.it), cf. *La Provincia Quotidiano Frosinone*, November 22, 2014.

<sup>36</sup> Documents on [www.difendiamolaverita.it](http://www.difendiamolaverita.it).

<sup>37</sup> *La Fede Quotidiana*, June 9, 2016.

<sup>38</sup> *La Provincia Quotidiano Frosinone*, June 9, 2016, cf. *La Provincia Quotidiano Frosinone*, November 13, 19, 20, and 27.

to persuade the mayor to revoke his decision and permit them to finish their building.<sup>39</sup>

By March 2017, the municipality eventually changed its decision, and the construction of the building could be resumed.<sup>40</sup> The work was completed during the summer, and when it finally opened, it was not called Casa Serena, but Il Santuario della Divina Misericordia—the Sanctuary of Divine Mercy, which serves as the cathedral of the Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem.<sup>41</sup>

The cathedral building resembles a boat, an ark, with a big cross on the roof. The interior is very sparsely decorated. Over the central altar is a triangle with an eye, a symbol of the Trinity. On the right-hand side, there are chairs for the Pontiff and the members of the spiritual council or other ministers who take part in the service. The most visual object is a several metre high portrait of Giuseppina Norcia which is placed to the right of the altar. On the podium, there is an ambo, from which sermons are preached. Close-by there is a statue of Michael the Archangel slaying the dragon. It is noteworthy that there is no crucifix present.<sup>42</sup>

In the cathedral, religious services are only held on Sundays and Holidays. On such occasions, the Pontiff and the members of the Spiritual Council wear black suits and stoles; the Pontiff wear a stole in red and gold and the others have white stoles. If the Pontiff is present, he generally holds the sermon. Otherwise, one of the Council members or a minister preach. The homilies, but no other part of the service, are recorded and available online. Every weekday,

---

<sup>39</sup> The events were extensively covered in the regional media, see e.g. *La Provincia Quotidiano Frosinone*, November 13, 19, 20, 27; *Ciociaria Oggi*, November 19, 20, 22, 26, and December 2.

<sup>40</sup> *Ciociaria Oggi*, March 4, 2017.

<sup>41</sup> [www.nuovagerusalemme.it](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it).

<sup>42</sup> “Omèlie”, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/diretta-web-2](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/diretta-web-2).

the Rosary is prayed outside La Piccola Culla, and one of the ministers reflects a theme.<sup>43</sup>

In November 2018, the Italian State Council recommended the State Department to give the Universal Christian Church of the New Jerusalem the status of an *Ente di Culto*, an officially recognised religious organisation with legal personality. Still, the Department has not yet taken any decision. This situation is highly unusual, perhaps even unique.<sup>44</sup> In late 2019, Samuele delivered a message to the President of the Republic stating that according to law, the process towards formal recognition of a religious organisation should take six months, but that the Church now had waited for four years.<sup>45</sup> As a part of the argument for official recognition, 860 adherents wrote open letters that were published on the *Difendiamo la verità* website. There, they gave testimony about how the La Culla del Bambino Gesù and the New Jerusalem Church had positively affected their religious life.<sup>46</sup>

Part of the explanation for the delay is the ongoing investigations into the economic activities of the Church. In October 2019, the police and tax authorities made a massive raid against the Church, seizing documentation. As a result, fourteen members, including the Pontiff, are investigated for fraud.<sup>47</sup>

### **Concluding Remarks: From Movement to Church**

To a certain extent, the Bambino Gesù/New Jerusalem represents a rather typical case of the growing institutionalization of an apparition movement. However, the process reached a rather unusual outcome: the foundation of a new

---

<sup>43</sup> “Meditazione del Giorno”, see [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/diretta-web-2](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/diretta-web-2)

<sup>44</sup> *Il Messaggero*, December 7, 2018.

<sup>45</sup> “Omelia di Pontefice”, October 20, 2019, [www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/diretta-web-2](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it/it/diretta-web-2).

<sup>46</sup> “Lettere aperte”, 2018, [www.difendiamolaverita.it/diritto-di-replica](http://www.difendiamolaverita.it/diritto-di-replica).

<sup>47</sup> *Ciociara Oggi*, October 12, 2019.

church. Still, for decades the heavenly messages and the reports about miracles attracted a broad group of people, who made the pilgrimage to La Piccola Culla in Gallinaro. However, like most in cases of this kind, the Roman Catholic hierarchy did not support the supernatural claims made by the seer but eventually declared them false.

Bernard Doherty has provided a model for identifying the strategies adopted by apparitional groups, and its members when the ecclesiastical authorities reject their central beliefs.<sup>48</sup> Though it is modelled on cases, in which the official church actively denounces an apparition movement, it is helpful when trying to analyse the Bambino Gesù movement, which without any forthright denunciation from the diocese, had a radical outcome: a new church.

The first strategy, according to Doherty's model, is *denial and reinvigoration*. At the Piccola Culla in Gallinaro, the plaque remained on the chapel's wall, and the *Libretto* was distributed and used despite the bishops' notifications. Prayer groups related to the Bambino Gesù were established at many places, and the movement referred to the local bishops' support in the 1970s and 1980s, stating that the later bishops and especially Luca Brandolini were inconsistent.

The second strategy is *individual reintegration*, which means that some devotees abandon the devotion as the church has declared that the apparitions and messages have no supernatural origin. Still, in the case of the Piccola Culla, the bishop did not denounce the site and worship as such, and many pilgrims only went there occasionally. Many were probably not even aware of the diocese's position. Still, there is nothing that indicates that the number of pilgrims diminished as the diocesan curia published its notification in 2001; rather the opposite.

The third strategy, according to Doherty's model, is *regularization/normalisation*, which implies that the whole apparition movement or a large part

---

<sup>48</sup> Doherty 2017: 104–114.

of it leaves. It is an unusual outcome, and, as stated, for a long time, the pilgrim groups that went to Gallinaro were vast and varied; many were not organised in any of the movement's prayer groups. After closing down the chapel in 2012, the mass pilgrimages ended. Thus, in a sense, there was a de facto 'regularization/normalization' of a significant part of the pilgrims. As the broader group of pilgrims decreased, the Bambino Gesù movement in a stricter sense became more determined and soon clearly distanced itself from the official Roman Catholic Church.

The fourth strategy that Doherty mentions is that an apparition movement searches for *alternative legitimation*. Often, the group tries to find support from a bishop in another Roman Catholic diocese or even another church. In the Bambino Gesù case, the search for an alternative legitimation had a drastic result. The leaders declared that the Roman Catholic Church was no longer the Church that Christ founded as the Holy Ghost has left it due to the heresies of its highest leader. Or the other way around. As the Holy Ghost left the church, the cardinals elected a false pope. In the New Jerusalem case, claiming divine orders, the result was the foundation of a new church and the election of a pontiff.

The fifth and final strategy that Doherty presents in his article is called *hereticization*, which he defines as 'the development of heterodox doctrines or practices which ensure a group's continual institutional alienation from the wider Church.' Though the New Jerusalem Church has issued new dogmas, e.g., as regards the pre-existence of the Virgin Mary and Giuseppina Norcia, the 'institutional alienation' was already there. The New Jerusalem Church sees the contemporary Roman Catholic Church as a new religion and vice versa. The Bambino Gesù movement founded a church of their own that they see as the continuation of the One True Church in the End-time.

## References

### Official Movement and Church Documents

*Una culla per Gesù Bambino nella terra di Gallinaro*, Gallinaro, no date [late 1980s?].

The official website of La Chiesa Cristiana Universale della Nuova Gerusalemme:  
[www.nuovagerusalemme.it](http://www.nuovagerusalemme.it) (last accessed on June 30, 2020).

#### *Chiesa*

Spirito che anima la Chiesa

Dottrina della Chiesa

Organi

Dicasteri

Ministro di Dio

#### *Magistero*

Decreti Pontifici

Atti

Documenti

#### *Spiritualità e Omelie*

#### *Il Libretto e le Preghiere*

Prefazione

Introduzione

Preghiere

Canti

#### *Rivelazioni a Maria Giuseppina Norcia*

#### *Storia*

Maria Giuseppina Norcia

The semi-official apologetic website: [www.difendiamolaverita.it](http://www.difendiamolaverita.it) (last accessed June 30, 2020).

The website of the Casa Serena del Bambino Gesù ONLUS: [www.casaserenaonlus.it](http://www.casaserenaonlus.it) (last accessed on June 30, 2020).

Fondazione Giuseppa Norcia, “Chiarimenti su alcuni fatti e circostanze riguardanti la vita di Giuseppina Norcia”, published on [www.lucedelmondo.forumfree.it/?t=53816078](http://www.lucedelmondo.forumfree.it/?t=53816078) (last accessed on June 30, 2020).

### **Newspapers**

*Avvenire*

*Ciociaria Oggi*

*Il Messaggero*

*La Fede Quotidiana*

*La Provincia Quotidiano Frosinone*

*L’Inchiesta Quotidiano*

### **Literature**

*Bollettino Ufficiale della Diocesi di Sora-Aquino-Pontecorvo*. 1992. Vol. LIX.1.

*Bollettino Ufficiale della Diocesi di Sora-Aquino-Pontecorvo*. 2001. Vol. LXVIII.2.

Bromley, David G. & Rachel S. Bobbitt. 2011. “The Organizational Development of Marian Apparitional Movements”, *Nova Religio: The Journal of Alternative and Emergent Religions* 14.3: 5–41.

Chiesa di Sora-Cassino-Aquino-Pontecorvo. 2016. *Documentazione di vita diocesana. Bollettino Ufficiale*, vol. LXXXIII.2.

Cicala, Angela. 2002. “Apocalisse nella terra di Gallinaro”, Laurea dissertation, Università degli Studi Suor Orsola Benincasa di Napoli.

- Ciccodicola, Floriana. 2000. *Una ‘nuova Gerusalemme’ in Ciociaria = Quaderni di Storia, antropologia e scienze del linguaggio*, vol. 6, Rome: Domograf.
- Di Marzio, Raffaella. 2006. “La Nuova Gerusalemme: un esempio di gruppi diffusi in ambienti cattolici nati da rivelazioni private e non riconosciuti dalla gerarchia”, *La Salette* 72.2, also available at [www.dimarzio.info](http://www.dimarzio.info) (last accessed June 30, 2020).
- Doherty, Bernard. 2017. “Marian Arks Cut Adrift: The Post-Roman Catholic Development of Two Australian Marian Apparitional Movements”, in: Kevin Wagner et al. (eds.), *Mariology at the Beginning of the Third Millennium*, pp. 98–121. Eugene: Pickwick Publications.
- Fabiano, Luigi. 2016. “La Nuova Gerusalemme Di Gallinara: Analisi di un Movimento Religioso”, available on [www.cattolicioggi.it](http://www.cattolicioggi.it) (last accessed on June 30, 2020).
- “Gruppi cattolici di frangia”. 2013. in: Massimo Introvigne & PierLuigi Zoccatelli (eds.), *Le Religioni in Italia*, pp. 105–106. Turin: Editrice Elledici. See also the continuous updates of the group profiles in *Le Religioni in Italia* available on [www.cesnur.com](http://www.cesnur.com) (last accessed June 30, 2020).
- Maunder, Chris. 2016. *Our Lady of All Nations: Apparitions of Mary in Twentieth-Century Catholic Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- “Norcia, Giuseppina (1940–1989) e La Nuova Gerusalemme”, *Dizionario del pensiero cristiano alternativo*, available on [www.eresie.com](http://www.eresie.com) (last accessed on June 30, 2020).

### Websites

Paolo Tescione, Catholic blog  
[www.paolotescione.wordpress.com](http://www.paolotescione.wordpress.com) (last accessed on June 30, 2020).

Photo of the plaque on the wall of the Piccola Culla chapel  
[www.chieracostui.com](http://www.chieracostui.com) (last accessed on June 30, 2020).